

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 RICHARD ROY SCOTT,

10 Plaintiff,

v.

11 AL NERIO *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

13 Case No. C06-5340RJB

14
15 ORDER GRANTING
16 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
17 CLARIFICATION

18 This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge
19 pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and
20 MJR 4. Before the court is a motion for clarification (Dkt. # 81).

21 On January 29, 2007, the court denied a request to conduct discovery without prejudice and
22 instructed plaintiff he could renew his request by submitting new questions limited to the April 6, 2006,
23 disciplinary hearing that is the subject of this action (Dkt. # 67).

24 Defendants now ask for clarification that none of the discovery requested by plaintiff in (Dkt. # 45),
25 is still pending. Defendants' interpretation of the January 29, 2007 order is correct. If plaintiff wishes to
26 conduct further discovery, he will need to submit the written questions for the court to consider. His
27 December 5, 2006, request, (Dkt. # 45), was denied.

28 The clerk is directed to send a copy of this document to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

DATED this 23 day of February, 2007.

29
30 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold
31 J. Kelley Arnold
32 United States Magistrate Judge